

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_118971
Title of the Manuscript:	LASSA FEVER: A Review of Clinical Features, Diagnosis and Treatment
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is a brief summary of Lassa fever, including the history of its occurrence, features of its spread, diagnosis and treatment. The data is qualitatively summarized and systematized. Of particular interest is the list of drugs for the prevention and treatment of the disease. The materials of the manuscript will be useful to students, graduate students, and medical practitioners.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6 The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The article should include information about antibody therapy for Lassa fever, e.g. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1879625719300367</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English quality is of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Generalov Sergei Viacheslavovich
Department, University & Country	Federal State Scientific Institution, Russian Research Anti Plague Institute, Russia