

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_120269
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginoplasty for Acquired Gynaetresia secondary to insertion of herbal concoction in the vagina: Successful repair. - A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript brings to the fore an age old practice still followed in some parts of the world. The practice mentioned in the manuscript is condemnable and mutilating to the woman. The woman's married life and life in general is adversely affected by the detrimental practice followed as mentioned in the manuscript. The organ damage caused by herbal medicine practitioner has been well tackled by the operating surgeon. Hopefully, with the procedure patient's marital life and life in general improves.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive. The subject has been well discussed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is a case report. The description is scientifically correct and technically sound. The introduction has been well described leading into the case details which can be well understood. The physical examination and the relevant investigations have been properly described. The ultrasound image though could not be clearly made out. The surgery has been well described. The discussion has been written well.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Slight improvement in the English language is required s regards to scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	A well written article. It creates awareness about the female genital mutilation being practiced and the possibility of successful surgical correction in some cases.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veena Vidyasagar
Department, University & Country	Sharda University, India