

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_120269
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginoplasty for Acquired Gynaetresia secondary to insertion of herbal concoction in the vagina: Successful repair. - A Case Report.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The problems appears common in certain countries where people are at the hands of native physicians and lacking standard medical care. Practices causing damage to the female organs to be highlighted with view to safeguard innocent women.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Completely inadequate. It is not reflecting the work done as appearing in the full text. Generally the word count in the abstract is 250.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a surgical procedure reported. This procedure is commonly done in this type of patients. The adjuncts and follow up methods are also described, giving the scientific value in the paper. Pictures attached give explanations However the conclusion is inadequate. The success and advantages of the procedure should be incorporated. Further there are language mistakes which are highlighted by yellow; Vagina shall be vaginal; pelvic scan shall be pelvic ultrasound scan	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Appropriate to this paper.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable after attending the language errors	
Optional/General comments	A good paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavuriel Elias Karunakaran
Department, University & Country	Eastern University, Sri Lanka