

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116198
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF MULBERRY STALK BIOCHAR AND ITS IMPACT ON SOIL NUTRIENTS RELEASE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, the manuscript is very important in the context of sustainable development by preserving the environment and soil and supporting production, the importance of biochar because using it as a complete substitute for fertilizers or as a supplement to the fertilizers used, and this varies according to the type of soil. It is worth noting that it would have been better to use biochar when growing and fertilizing a crop. The research results can be applied to various soils and crops, specifically during periods of maximum plant absorption, thus achieving the highest efficiency of using nutrients.</p> <p>2- Yes</p> <p>3- Yes, paying attention to the note recorded in the manuscript abstract regarding the place and time of carrying out the research.</p> <p>4- Yes</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6- yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author's method of presenting the results is clear and interesting, whether with tables or graphs.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rabie Zeinah
Department, University & Country	General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research, Syria