

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJNFS_116108</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSING THE COMPOSITION OF COMMERCIAL TURMERIC POWDER: ASSESSING CONTAMINANTS AND ITS IMPACTS, CURCUMIN AND WATER-SOLUBLE VITAMINS LEVELS</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p><b>NO: Non of Turmeric composition was analysed and the impact of the contaminants was not assessed. But different types of contaminants were be tested which was used to infered the concentration of <i>Curcumin</i>.</b></p> <p>NO</p> <p>Somehow</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The References are not sufficient, not recent and not well arranged.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	YES	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><i>Curcumin</i> should be italicized because is one of the components of Turmeric. The write up is not well justified There should be citation(s) for the measurements. The results and discussion should be more scientific</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akeredolu Funke Florence
Department, University & Country	Afe Babalola University Ado -Ekiti, Nigeria