

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_116703
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Different Liquid Organic Manures On The Nutrient Release Pattern In Radish Grown Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, after making minor corrections</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-The study was conducted to assess the effect of different liquid organic manures on the nutrient release pattern in radish grown soil, and it was concluded that the application of organic manures including liquid organic manures like Panchagavya and Jeevamrutha provided a significant improvement on soil chemical properties and contributed to the availability of plant nutrient.</p> <p>2- The written abstract, introduction, material and methods are quite good and complete.</p> <p>3. I think that the discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained and to compare with previous studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Taain
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, Iraq