

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116899
Title of the Manuscript:	Organic Farming vs. Integrated Nutrient Management: A Comparative Review of Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by enhancing understanding of Organic Farming vs. Integrated Nutrient Management in Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability. This review underscores the potential of combining organic and INM practices to create a sustainable and productive agricultural system. Yes I strongly agree with title. Yes, the abstract serves its purpose well in providing readers with a clear understanding of the study's scope and implications. Overall, the manuscript's subsections and structure are appropriate for conveying the research methodology, results, and implications in a clear and organized manner. Based on the information provided in the abstract and the structure of the manuscript, it appears that the study follows established scientific principles and methodologies commonly used in agricultural research. Based on the provided references, it seems that the manuscript includes relevant sources to support its context and methodology. However, it's essential to ensure that the references are recent enough to reflect the current state of knowledge in the field. <p><u>additional suggestions/comments</u></p> <p>Future Research Directions: Suggest potential avenues for future research based on the findings of the current study. Identify unanswered questions or areas that warrant further investigation, such as exploring alternative fertilization strategies, assessing long-term soil fertility dynamics, or investigating interactions between soil microbes and crop nutrition.</p> <p>Overall Contribution: Evaluate the overall contribution of the manuscript to the existing body of knowledge in the fields of soil fertility management and crop nutrition. Consider whether the research fills a significant gap in the literature, advances understanding of relevant concepts, or offers practical insights for agricultural sustainability.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The writing is clear and coherent, with technical terminology appropriate for the field of agricultural research. However, there are a few areas where improvements could be made for clarity and precision: <p>Sentence Structure: Some sentences in the manuscript could be simplified or rephrased for better readability. Ensure that complex ideas are expressed clearly and concisely.</p> <p>Grammar and Punctuation: Check for grammatical errors and punctuation mistakes that may affect the clarity of the text. Proofreading for typos and inconsistencies can enhance the overall</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>quality of the language.</p> <p>Consistency in Terminology: Ensure consistency in the use of terminology throughout the manuscript. Verify that abbreviations, symbols, and units of measurement are used consistently and appropriately.</p> <p>Academic Tone: Maintain a formal and objective tone consistent with scholarly writing standards. Avoid colloquial language or informal expressions that may detract from the professionalism of the manuscript.</p> <p>Citation Format: Confirm that citations follow the appropriate format and style guidelines for the target journal or publication. Ensure accuracy and completeness in referencing the sources cited in the manuscript.</p> <p>Overall, while the language and English quality of the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communications, careful proofreading and attention to detail can further enhance the clarity and professionalism of the writing.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haftom Teshale Gebre
Department, University & Country	Adigrat University, Ethiopia