

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRID_120150</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Seroprevalence of hepatitis B virus among blood donors at National Blood Transfusion and Research Center-Taiz branch</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>						
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>The manuscript is really important for community</b>							
Is the title of the article suitable? <i>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</i>	Yes							
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes							
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes							
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes							
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	<table border="1" data-bbox="507 1381 1944 1444"> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1381 676 1413">References</td> <td data-bbox="676 1381 825 1413">229-231</td> <td data-bbox="825 1381 1944 1413">The authors should delete that reference because it is repeated in lines 232-235</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="676 1413 825 1444">228</td> <td data-bbox="825 1413 1944 1444">The authors should delete that reference because it is repeated in lines 225-227</td> </tr> </table>	References	229-231	The authors should delete that reference because it is repeated in lines 232-235		228	The authors should delete that reference because it is repeated in lines 225-227	
References	229-231	The authors should delete that reference because it is repeated in lines 232-235						
	228	The authors should delete that reference because it is repeated in lines 225-227						

**Review Form 1.8**

<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Please, note that to facilitate the revision I have included the line number, beginning at the abstract (i.e, line 1).  <b>Language of the paper needs improvement. The authors are suggested to revise the language and correct all the grammatical errors.</b></p>			
	Section	line	Word(s) required for correction	After correction
	Abstract	6	most health problems	the most common health
		7	the most route transmission	the most common route of transmission
		10, 11	National Blood	the National Blood
		19	On other hand	On the other hand
			together were showed	together showed
		22	which	in which
		23	316 (10.2%) that was statistically	316 (10.2%) was statistically
		24	result indicate donors with post HBV	result indicates donors with post-HBV
	25	anti-HBc Abwill	anti-HBc Ab will	
	Introduction	38	Said & Hassan; Jayalakshmi <i>et al.</i> , 2013	Said & Hassan, 2019; Jayalakshmi <i>et al.</i> , 2013
		40	The world health Organization (WHO)	The World Health Organization (WHO)
		41	low level less	low level of less
			high level more	high level of more
44		donors which	donors who	
45		HbsAg are	HbsAg is not	
46	should be investigate	be investigated		
52	In Yemen blood transfusion increased due to injuries, suffered from anemia and malnutrition	In Yemen, blood transfusion increased due to injuries, anemia, and malnutrition		
Methods	60	from National Blood Transfusion	from the National Blood Transfusion	
Results	69	at National Blood	at the National Blood	
Discussion	105	a recent previous study conduct	a recent previous study conducted	
	109	5.1% among blood donors	5.1% of blood donors	
	110, 111	Otherwise Al Zubiary reported that 4.1% among blood donors	Otherwise, Al Zubiary reported that 4.1% of blood donors	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The manuscript is good, but the authors need to meet the requirements.</b></p>			

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Faika Ibrahim Mohamed Hassanein</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pharos University in Alexandria, Egypt</b>