

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_120150
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of hepatitis B virus among blood donors at National Blood Transfusion and Research Center-Taiz branch
Type of the Article	Microbiology

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	This study found that high prevalence of post-HBV infection among blood donation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, by the conclusion that the anti-HBc marker to investigate blood donors along with HBsAg before blood transfusion can increase blood transfusion safety by rejecting blood units which anti-HBc reactive but HBsAg non- reactive.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	All references are old .	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	----	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Fadel Al-Jumaili
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq