

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_118678
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF MIXED FRUIT JUICE PRODUCED FROM SHADDOCK (<i>Citrus maxima</i>), WATERMELON (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i>) AND PAWPAW (<i>Carica papaya</i>) BLENDS
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, Production of juice from different fruits with nutritional value and shelf life is important to make the juice available for the consumer in the off-season.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, it is too lengthy. Rewrite the abstract with important results and with the headings (Aim, background, method, result and conclusion) and follow the guidelines of the journal.</p> <p>No, the section and the subsection are not numbered as per the guidelines.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but try to include the country's standard protocol, or food standard of FDA to produce the juice.</p> <p>The citations in the text are not appropriate, in a Vancouver style the citations are placed serially, so follow the guidelines. Include the ethical approval registration number. Retractometer... is the correct spelling.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganga Gharty Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal