

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_120519
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Silica Addition in the Red Tilapia Juvenil ( <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> ) Biofloc System on The Floc Volume Density
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Knowing the effect of Silica addition is important for Bio-floc. As slight changes in the quantity and effect the water quality resulting in pollution and causing death of fish.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Its Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its Ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes but short	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Latest citation should be done and research should be done for minimum one season 6 month.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Latest references should be included	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is ok	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Research duration is very short and latest refences should be used.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Haobijam James Watt</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lovely Professional University, India</b>