

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120166</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Perceived Effects of Academic Activities on Suicidal Thoughts among Undergraduate Students of Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, the manuscript addresses a critical issue affecting undergraduate students, namely the relationship between academic activities and suicidal thoughts. Understanding this correlation can contribute significantly to interventions and support systems within educational institutions. However, the manuscript should explicitly discuss the implications of its findings for both academia and mental health professionals.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title effectively summarizes the focus of the study. However, consider rephrasing for clarity and impact, such as "Impact of Academic Demands on Suicidal Ideation among Undergraduates at Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	Yes, the abstract provides a succinct overview of the study objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, the manuscript follows a logical structure with clear subsections (Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion).	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, the methodology appears sound, utilizing appropriate data collection methods to explore perceptions among undergraduate students. However, ensure that statistical analyses are clearly presented and discussed to strengthen the scientific rigor of the findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	The references are adequate but could benefit from more recent studies (within the past 5 years) to ensure the relevance and comprehensiveness of the literature review.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is generally acceptable for scholarly communication. However, some sentences could be refined for clarity and conciseness. Consider proofreading for grammatical errors and consistency in terminology	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Discuss limitations in more detail, such as potential biases in self-reported data or constraints in generalizing findings beyond the study context.  Explicitly address ethical considerations, including participant consent and confidentiality protocols, within the manuscript.  Consider implications for policy and practice in academic institutions and mental health services based on the study findings.	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kalayu Mebrahtu Kiros</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mekelle University, Ethiopia</b>