

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120166</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Perceived Effects of Academic Activities on Suicidal Thoughts among Undergraduate Students of Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i></p>	<p><b>On The suicide continuum, it starts with suicide thought/ideation. Suicide ideation/ thought is the prerequisite for suicide.</b>  <b>Research has indicated that, the more we talk about suicide, the safer, because people get to know the help, hope and services available.</b>  <b>Suicide is the fourth leading cause of death among 15–29-year-olds (WHO, 2024). Our universities have a chunk of these young people. Hence, the need to tackle the matter at the root.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p>	<p><b>Abstract comprehensive with the following details provided;</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purpose</li> <li>• Study design</li> <li>• Population</li> <li>• Sample size, sampling technique</li> <li>• Instrument</li> <li>• Findings</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Subsections</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. introduction- good, Paragraph 7, Line 1- author(s) should just use 'suicide' instead of "Suicide completion".</li> <li>2. Problem was clearly stated</li> <li>3. Research questions stated</li> <li>4. Methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Author(s) should please clarify the sampling technique used because the abstract stated simple random while purposive sampling was stated in the methods section.</li> <li>• The basis of their choice of Faculty of Education should be clear.</li> <li>• '4-point Likert scale' instead of 4 point scale</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data well presented</li> <li>• Table well labelled</li> <li>• The source of data should be indicated below the table.</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. Discussion Done</li> <li>7. Conclusion and Recommendation appropriate</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i></p>	<p><b>Findings confirmed knowledge.</b> <b>Manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.8**

<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>Recent References</b> Please some in- text citations are missing at the list of references section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English quality good However, author(s) should please be mindful of the use of past tense and present tense in research report writing.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work done author(s). Please kindly go through the work and consider all grammatical and typo errors.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Benedicta Ama Adom-Mensah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Cape Coast, Ghana</b>