

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_120414</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>USE OF PADDY STRAW IN CONCRETE- A BRIEF REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It supports multidisciplinary research which is where the world of research is heading to.</li> <li>2. It is novel in approach</li> <li>3. It will help farmers and building technologists, engineers make informed decisions about alternative uses of paddy straw</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Innovative Implications of Paddy Straw in Concrete: A review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. I suggest you remove he last paragraph from the body of the abstract. Its starts with....Research topic.....	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. But can be beefed up to make it more novel.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because it considers review works carried out by other authors	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Introduction is short Add more review on studies carried out on the use of paddy straw in concrete, it isn't sufficient	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agwu, Ani Ekwe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Polytechnic Ngodo Isuochi Abia State, Nigeria</b>