

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_119680
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING ANIMAL NUTRITION AND SUSTAINABILITY: THE VITAL ROLE OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED CROPS IN ANIMAL FEEDING
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, GM crops as substitute of conventional crops wrt their pesticide/herbicide/antibiotic tolerance need to be addressed. However, the pros and cons both need to be discussed</b></p> <p><b>No, it should be improvised, since sustainability using GMO isn't talked about in the manuscript.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes, but it needs major emphasis citing the significance of GMO, which is foreshadowed by major text citing "no ill effect, no significant difference". The article should rather highlight the positive impact of GMO.</b> <b>More references are needed in the text (marked in the text)</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but needs rephrasing wherever marked in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The tables need to be orderly constructed with lesser text, continuity of the text should be maintained while writing paragraphs. Avoid repetition of "no significant difference noted". It is well understood through tables and texts, rather try to focus more on significance of GM. Make the corrections as marked in the text.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shumaila Taskeen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (GADVASU), India</b>