

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120022
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies for Resource Management in the Department of Education: A Systematic Review of Efficiency and Effectiveness
Type of the Article	Research paper

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, this manuscript has contributed new knowledge to the discipline.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The comprehensive abstract covers essential elements such as a brief background, theoretical framework, methodology, and study findings. However, recommendations were not included in the abstract. I suggest the author(s) add recommendations to the abstract to complete it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All subsections and organization of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	The manuscript is scientifically appropriate as new knowledge has been generated into the area of Resource Management in Education.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are enough and reflect the intext citations. However, wrong intext citations were observed throughout the manuscript. I propose the author(s) make the revision by adopting the APA referencing style and be consistent through out the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English Language used in this research paper is appropriate for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bariham Iddrisu
Department, University & Country	University for Development Studies, Ghana