

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114158
Title of the Manuscript:	EXAMINING INCLUSIVE EDUCATION PRACTICES IN GHANA: INSIGHTS FROM SELECTED BASIC SCHOOLS IN THE WASSA AMENFI WEST DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes it important</p> <p>2. The title is broad it needs to be modified as 'Teachers experience in curriculum adaptation, infrastructure modification and preparedness for implementation of inclusive education in selected basic schools...'</p> <p>3. The abstract lacks to include the objectives of the research.</p> <p>4 the structure of subsections needs revision as pur APA 7th ed. Format.</p> <p>5 It is correct with considering in needs of modification as per the following given comment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What about characteristics of the questionnaire like, language of preparation, number of items to each variable of the study, dimensionality, maximum and minimum score, scoring method, result of the pilot and the original to be compared, evidence of taking the result of alpha as an acceptable as well how s ethical considerations were made and where is description/statement as evidences to have no conflict of interest as well as acknowledgment if any sponsorship issues. - here is no detailed description on procedures of data collection and methods of data analysis with reason. - No inferential statistics for analysis. - The result section needs interpretation, and discussion rather simple presentation of data in table followed by its repeat ion with description. <p>6 there are update references but needs modification of many old sources.</p> <p>7 No basic theory/conceptual framework that guide the research to which consideration needs to be done.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs revision.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The introduction, problem statement, research method and analysis needs modification.</p> <p>2. The inclusion of conceptual frame work and inferential statistical analysis need to be considered</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endris Seid Kassaw
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia