

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116859
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact analysis of Krushik-a Live Demo Expo (Farmers Fair/ Technological week) in Agriculture and Rural Development
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript could be relevant for the scientific community, particularly those working in agricultural extension, technology transfer, and rural development domains.</p> <p>The title of the article is reasonably suitable as it captures the essence of the study. However, a slightly more concise title could be:</p> <p>"Impact of Krushik Agricultural Exhibition on Technology Dissemination and Farmers' Perceptions"</p> <p>The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. It covers the important aspects of the research adequately.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. It follows a typical format with sections like Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion. However, some content of subsections is preferably add more explanation.</p> <p>The manuscript appears scientifically sound as it presents a detailed analysis of the impact of the Krushik exhibition on the farming community.</p> <p>The references provided in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and relatively recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article seem suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript does contain some minor grammatical and formatting errors that could be addressed through a minor revision process. Please correct the error and follow the formatting style for article on title of table and figure. Also check the exact font style.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Fauzi Bin Mohd Yunus
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic of Sultan Mizan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia