

Harvesting Prosperity: A Holistic Examination of Sustainable Agriculture's Economic Footprint in Developing Nations

Abstract

The study explores the significant influence of sustainable agriculture on the socioeconomic structure of developing nations. It highlights how sustainable agriculture stimulates economic expansion by developing new avenues for income generation and entrepreneurship, in addition to boosting productivity and resource efficiency. By means of market integration, value chain development, and sustainable financing mechanisms, avenues are opened for small farmers to gain entry into profitable markets, thereby enhancing their economic position. The present study provides a sophisticated comprehension of how sustainable agriculture goes beyond the simple preservation of the environment and acts as a driver for comprehensive development. Through the mitigation of social inequalities and economic disparities, sustainable agriculture possesses the capacity to unleash the complete potential of developing nations, thereby establishing a pathway towards a prosperous and resilient future.

Keywords: Sustainable agriculture, Socio-economic structure, Food security, Economic implications.

1.1 Introduction

According to the 1971 edition of the Oxford English Dictionary, agriculture means "the science and art of cultivating the soil, including the allied pursuits of gathering in the crops and rearing live-stocks (sic); tillage, husbandry, farming (in the widest sense)." Agriculture, the practice of cultivating plants and animals for subsistence, has a rich and complex history that extends over millennia. The introduction of agriculture was a pivotal moment in human history, as it enabled nomadic communities of hunters and gatherers to transition to permanent settlements that could accommodate larger populations.

The concept of 'Sustainable Agriculture' gained prominence following the introduction of sustainable development. The Brundtland Commission Report concentrates on the environmental consequences of extensive industrialization (Hedge & Sudhakara). Before the technological revolution, farmers were reliant on subsistence farming, which entailed the cultivation of a limited quantity of food for their own consumption and that of their families on a small tract of land. Nevertheless, the technological revolution has resulted in an increasing number of issues, including soil degradation, loss of fertility, and numerous other issues that initially harmed life on land and at sea. A global issue is the fact that the entire world depends on technology to enhance agricultural output to meet the demands of the expanding population. This dependence is resulting in the permanent degradation of arable land and its inability to sustain human life (Megerssa & Bekere).

India has experienced numerous revolutions throughout history, like the green revolution that transformed rice and wheat into edible oils, the blue revolution that transformed fisheries and aquaculture into a science, the white revolution that transformed milk production into coffee, and so on. However, among these, green revolution stands out as the most conspicuous. The Green Revolution in India, centred on High Yielding Varieties (HYV) seeds, aimed to address starvation through increased agricultural productivity. While successful in boosting crop yields, it came with environmental degradation due to heavy use of fertilizers, pesticides, and mechanization. (Shiva et al., 2004). Despite this, agriculture continues to rely on harmful synthetic chemical pesticides and fertilisers, which contribute to severe environmental and health issues. Herbicides are utilised by 90% of farmers in the United States to manage weeds (Pimentel et al., 1993). Although cereal production

Commented [S1]: This source is not complete. In the introduction, you need to add the year of publication.

increased in Asia as a result of the green revolution from 1970 to 1995, the region's total cultivated land area grew by only 4%. Despite reducing starvation and destitution and satisfying the global food demand, the expansion of agriculture has resulted in environmental degradation. According to a report by the Global Assessment of Soil Degradation, toxic chemicals are being used to scour away the earth's soil, and the squandering of fertiliser inputs costs the United States \$2.5 billion annually (US Academy of Sciences, 2003).

Therefore, the HYV revolution, which involves the transition from subsistence to profit farming through the use of mechanization, fertilizers, pesticides, and enhanced crop varieties, has resulted in the degradation of the environment in order to meet the demand.

The study done by Pimentel et al. (2005) highlighted the heavy dependence of the agriculture industry on chemical fertilizers and pesticides, which has a significant detrimental impact on human health and the environment. Herbicides are employed by 90% of maize producers in the United States to manage plants, according to US data. Atrazine, a pesticide that is frequently detected in groundwater and streams, was predominantly used as a herbicide on maize (USGs 2001).

India's agricultural productivity has experienced an extraordinary increase since independence, as per Dr. S. Anantha Selvam (2005). The green revolution is the result of the increased use of high-yielding seed varieties. According to the CSO, agriculture experienced a 3.8% increase in growth from the previous year, as opposed to 2.8%. The green revolution does increase agricultural productivity; however, it does so at the expense of environmental degradation. For example, the accumulation of poisonous sodium and compounds, the depletion of organic matter, soil erosion, and the disruption of soil structure in organisms, and the accumulation of water are all consequences of intensive land use. India's government has already enacted several initiatives to encourage sustainable agriculture, such as the Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchai Yojana, which provides assistance for organic farming methods, and the Soil Health Card Program, which aims to enhance soil fertility in the long run, according to Kamble & Chevan (2018). Nevertheless, the repercussions of climate change and global warming on agriculture, particularly in India, persist to be severe, resulting in a decrease in productivity. According to Jules N Pretty (2008), it is imperative to develop technology

and methods that prevent environmental or human health damage in order to improve food production. The global food production has been significantly increased in recent decades as a result of the expansion of agriculture systems. Yet, over 800 million individuals continue to endure starvation and die as a result.

Agrochemical/environmental, social, and economic factors are the primary causes of the numerous challenges that Indian agriculture is currently facing, as per Kareemulla et al. (2017). A number of state holders are concerned about this. The present study focuses at ecological, economic, and social sustainability indices as they pertain to farming.

The research conducted by Patidar et al. (2018). encompasses several forms of capital, including physical, natural, financial, psychological, and social. This approach will facilitate the advancement of initiatives to eradicate destitution. Not only that, but Pannell et al. (2006) assert that socioeconomic conditions significantly influence adoption decisions. An illustration of this is the correlation between the scale of a farm and the implementation of sustainable practices. Frequently, larger farms are able to invest in innovation processes and technologies due to their higher access to resources. Adoption rates are also influenced by market opportunities and financial availability, as per Feder et al. (2010). Increased access to financial resources and markets increases the likelihood that farmers will implement sustainable practices.

1.2 Research Objectives

- 1) To analyse the substantial impact of sustainable agriculture on the socio-economic landscape of developing countries.
- 2) To investigate how sustainable agriculture functions as a catalyst for a comprehensive approach, rather than merely an instrument for environmental conservation.

1.3 Methodology

A conceptual framework is created to describe “Harvesting Prosperity: A Holistic Examination of Sustainable Agriculture’s Economic Footprint in Developing Nations.” A number of well-known databases, including Google Scholar, FAO, The World Bank, ICRAF, Research Gate, and WRI, were consulted in order to undertake the statistical analysis of data for this study. This is achieved by utilizing keywords such as Sustainable agriculture, Socio-economic structure, Food security, and Economic repercussions.

1.4 Discussion

1.4 Economic Implications of Sustainable Agriculture

Developing countries derive numerous economic advantages from sustainable agriculture. Initially, it improves productivity and efficiency by encouraging resource optimization. Farmers can reduce input costs and enhance yields in the long term by implementing practices such as integrated pest management and conservation agriculture. Sustainable agriculture also mitigates the susceptibility of producers to external disruptions, including the effects of climate change and volatile market prices (Chuku & Okoye). To safeguard their incomes and livelihoods, farmers may enhance their resilience and adjust to evolving circumstances by implementing agroforestry systems, adopting climate-smart practices, and diversifying their crops. Additionally, sustainable agriculture reduces the costs linked to environmental deterioration while simultaneously promoting environmental sustainability. In order to minimize the need for expensive interventions and cleanup activities, sustainable agriculture advocates for practices that promote soil health, save water resources and reduce pollution. It also decreases the chances of land degradation, water scarcity, and soil erosion—all of which may have major economic repercussions such as lower agricultural production and higher costs for rehabilitating ecosystems and purifying water. (Powlson et.al).

Commented [S2]: The numbers should be 1.4 and 1.4.1

Commented [S3]: Remove the colon .

Commented [S4]: Year of publication.

Commented [S5]: You have to always put the year of publication. And when it is a quotation, you must add the page number.

Table 1.4: Economic Repercussions of sustainable agriculture

Country	Economic Repercussions
Bangladesh	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and destitution has been reduced as a result of sustainable agriculture. Additionally, it has contributed to the expansion of the rural economy and the creation of employment opportunities.
Ethiopia	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and the use of sustainable agriculture has led to a decrease in destitution. Additionally, it has played a role in safeguarding the environment and the sustainable management of natural resources.
Kenya	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and destitution has been reduced as a result of sustainable agriculture. Additionally, it has contributed to the expansion of the rural economy and the creation of employment opportunities.

Malawi	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and destitution has been reduced as a result of sustainable agriculture. Additionally, it has played a role in safeguarding the environment and conserving natural resources.
Mozambique	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and destitution has been reduced as a result of sustainable agriculture. Additionally, it has contributed to the expansion of the rural economy and the creation of employment opportunities.
Nepal	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and destitution has been reduced as a result of sustainable agriculture. Furthermore, it has played a role in safeguarding the environment and conserving natural resources.
India	Agricultural productivity has been enhanced, food security has been enhanced, and destitution has been reduced as a result of sustainable agriculture. Additionally, it has contributed to the expansion of the rural economy and the creation of employment opportunities.

(Source: FAO (2018)., IFAD (2016)., World Bank (2017)., Pretty, J., et al. (2006)., Tilman, D., et al. (2011)., Foley, J. A., et al. (2011))

The above table 1.4.1 explains that increased agricultural productivity in Bangladesh is the consequence of sustainable agriculture; consequently, farmers are cultivating a greater quantity of crops. Sustenance security has been enhanced, resulting in increased access to sufficient sustenance for a greater number of individuals. Furthermore, it has contributed to poverty reduction through the creation of income opportunities. In addition, sustainable agriculture has contributed to rural economic growth and employment creation. Similar to Bangladesh, sustainable agriculture in Ethiopia has increased agricultural output, enhanced food security, and decreased destitution. Additionally, it has safeguarded the environment and contributed to the preservation of vital natural resources like water and soil. Similar to Ethiopia and Bangladesh, sustainable agriculture in Kenya has resulted in increased food security, increased productivity, and a reduction in destitution. It has also stimulated the rural economy and generated employment. The adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Malawi has resulted in enhanced food security, increased agricultural output, and a reduction in poverty. Environmental protection and the conservation of natural resources have also benefited from its efforts. Sustainable agriculture has yielded comparable favourable outcomes in Mozambique, embracing increased agricultural output, enhanced food security, poverty alleviation, employment generation, and rural economic expansion. Increased agricultural output, better food security, and less poverty are all outcomes of

sustainable agriculture in Nepal. Furthermore, it has contributed to the preservation of natural resources and the safeguarding of the environment. Increased agricultural output, better food security, reduced poverty, new jobs, and rural economic growth are some of the benefits that India has seen, just like other countries.

The Economic Consequences of Eco-Friendly Farming on Low-Income Economies:

1.4.1 Addressing Food Security:

In developing countries, one of the main objective of sustainable agriculture is to enhance the food security. The goal of sustainable farming techniques is to optimize productivity while simultaneously reducing adverse environmental effects. Farmers can increase the production of food and guarantee its availability for future generations by implementing sustainable practices.

. (Pretty, J., Toulmin, C., & Williams, S. (2011)., Kassie, M., Jaleta, M., & Shiferaw, B. (2013)).

1.4.2 Efforts to reduce poverty and promote development in rural areas:

Sustainable agriculture has the capacity to significantly contribute to the advancement of rural communities and the alleviation of poverty in developing countries. It enhances rural livelihoods, reduces rural-urban migration, and provides income-generating opportunities for subsistence producers. Sustainable agriculture's social and economic implications for poverty alleviation and rural development are examined in the following research papers. (Doss, C. R. (2006)., Reardon, T., & Taylor, J. E. (1996)). The poverty rate in Bangladesh is 20.5%, with 17.8% of the total population residing in rural areas. Initiatives such as microfinance, agricultural extension, and social safety nets are implemented by the government to assist its 112 million rural residents in escaping poverty. The poverty rate in India is 13.4%, with 12.1% of the rural population living in poverty. Programs such as the NREGA (National Rural Employment Guarantee Act) and the PMJDY (Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana) contribute to the reduction of poverty in the nation. The primary strategies employed to ameliorate poverty among Kenya's 53 million rural residents, who constitute 40% of the nation's impoverished population with 43% of overall impoverished population, include cash transfers, food security programs, and agricultural extension (World Bank 2022).

Commented [S6]: objectives

1.4.3. Environmental Conservation and Climate Change Mitigation:

Environmental conservation and climate change mitigation are significantly influenced by sustainable agriculture. It promotes lowering emissions of greenhouse gases, protecting biodiversity, preserving water resources, and keeping soil healthy. The subsequent academic articles examine the societal and financial consequences of sustainable agriculture within the framework of environmental preservation and the reduction of climate change effects.

(Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2003), Vermeulen, S. J., Campbell, B. M., & Ingram, J. S. (2012)).

Brazil, China, India and Turkey, Mexico, and South Africa are all taking steps to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Brazil realises 2.0 billion tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, with main mitigation activities being Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), the Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD++) initiative, energy efficiency programmes. China releases 10.6 billion tonnes, with energy efficiency programmes, large renewable power expenditures, and NDCs. India releases 2.5 billion tonnes, with energy efficiency programmes, renewable energy investments, and NDCs. These initiatives demonstrate the global commitment to combat climate change by coordinating strategies across different regions and economies (World Bank 2020, UNFCCC 2020).

1.4.4. Environmental conservation:

The objective of sustainable agriculture is to optimize resource efficiency and reduce environmental impacts in developing countries. The initiative tackles issues such as the destruction of forests, the deterioration of land quality, the shortage of water, and the decline of biodiversity by conserving resources, preserving ecosystems, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Important environmental conservation efforts made by different nations viz., Brazil conservation initiatives include the National System of Conservation Units, Bolsa Verde, and Amazon Fund, with a population of 212.5 million and a GDP of 1.9 trillion USD. China's environmental programs include The National Park System, Three North Shelterbelt Programme, and National Plan on Ecological Civilisation, funded by a 24.3 trillion USD GDP. India's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan and National Action Plan on Climate Change highlight conservation efforts. Turkey, South Africa, and Mexico prioritize conservation through sustainable energy programs, protected areas and laws (World Bank 2020, UNEP 2020).

1.4.5. Rural development:

Sustainable agriculture in developing countries enhances rural development by diversifying income sources, improving market access, and promoting local entrepreneurship. It encourages technology adoption, knowledge sharing, and capacity building, creating employment opportunities, reducing migration, and promoting inclusive growth. There are 156 million people living in rural areas of Brazil, which contributes 1.4 trillion USD to the rural GDP. The total population of the Brazil is 212.5 million, and the GDP is 1.9 trillion USD. Bolsa Familia as well as the National Rural Development Plan are examples of its programmes for rural development. With a total population over 1.4 billion and a GDP of 24.3 trillion USD, China prioritizes rural development through programmes such as the New Socialist Countryside Construction and Rural Revitalisation Strategy. The country's 930 million rural residents contribute 10.6 trillion USD to this total. National Rural Employment Guarantee Act and Pradhan Mantri Gramme Sadak Yojana are two such programs helps India's 900 million rural citizens and the 1.2 trillion USD rural GDP contribute to the country's overall GDP of 2.9 trillion USD. Rural development is a top priority for Mexico, South Africa, and Turkey, all of which have rural populations and economic situations that necessitate specific programmes to alleviate poverty, enhance infrastructure, and promote economic growth in rural areas (World Bank 2020, FAO 2020).

1.5 Conclusion

From an economical perspective, sustainable agriculture boosts resilience, productivity, and economic development in rural areas by optimising resources, diversifying crops, and adding value. It helps local economies thrive, creates more jobs, makes people less susceptible to outside shocks, and boosts economies worldwide. Sustainable agriculture also helps with conservation efforts, which means less money spent on environmental damage. Underscoring its numerous advantages across social, economic, and

Commented [S8]: Why do you have blank space here?
You have to delete that blank space.

Commented [S9]: Remove the colon at the end of each title.

environmental aspects, the study emphasizes the crucial importance of sustainable agriculture in promoting the long-term progress and advancement of emerging nations.

References

- Pretty, J. (2008). Agricultural sustainability: Concepts, principles and evidence. In *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (Vol. 363, Issue 1491, pp. 447–465). Royal Society. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2163>
- Patidar, J., Kumhar, B., Mhaske, S., & Jat, S. (2018). Importance of Sustainable Agriculture in Tribal Community of India. *International Journal of Bio-Resource and Stress Management*, 9(2), 253–256. <https://doi.org/10.23910/IJBSM/2018.9.2.3C0550>
- Pannell, D. J., Marshall, G. R., Barr, N., Curtis, A., Vanclay, F., & Wilkinson, R. (2006). Understanding and promoting adoption of conservation practices by rural landholders. *Australian journal of experimental agriculture*, 46(11), 1407-1424.
- Makate, C., Makate, M., & Mango, N. (2017). Smallholder farmers' perceptions on climate change and the use of sustainable agricultural practices in the Chinyanja Triangle, Southern Africa. *Social Sciences*, 6(1), 30.
- Prasad, R., Bhattacharyya, A., & Nguyen, Q. D. (2017). Nanotechnology in sustainable agriculture: recent developments, challenges, and perspectives. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 1014.
- Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Seidel, R., & Douds, D. (2005). *Organic and Conventional Farming Systems: Environmental and Economic Issues*.
- Kareemulla, K., Venkattakumar, R., & Samuel, M. P. (2017). Current Science Association An analysis on agricultural sustainability in India. In *Source: Current Science* (Vol. 112, Issue 2).
- Selvam, S. A. (2015). *SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN INDIAN AGRICULTURE*.
- Shankar Kamble, P., & Gopinath Chavan, D. (2018). *UGc appROved JOURnal nO. 48514 issn: 2249-894X vOIUme-7 | issUe-12*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327837746>

Pretty, J. (2008). Agricultural sustainability: concepts, principles and evidence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1491), 447-465.

Ezeh, G. S., Ojobor, R. C., & Onoh, C. C. (n.d.). *Sustainable Agricultural Development And Grassroots` Grassroots` Information Provision: An Appraisal Of Information Needs Of Adani Rice Farmers In Enugu State Of Nigeria*.

UNDER PEER REVIEW