

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_118699
Title of the Manuscript:	Harvesting Prosperity: A Holistic Examination of Sustainable Agriculture's Economic Footprint in Developing Nations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript deals with identifying the impact of sustainable agriculture on the economy of the developing nations. It does uses 2. Yes the title of the study is apt as it does address the concepts used in the study. As it was a descriptive study using metanalysis, so I could have been better to frame title as "A study of the Holistic Examination of Sustainable Agriculture's Economic Footprint in Developing Nations." 3. The abstract is ok but incorporate a brief introduction regarding the concept addressed in the research. In the abstract a basic idea about the research methodology and procedure adopted for the study in brief. Also, the findings of the study and implications in brief must be incorporated. There must be minimum 5 keywords in the abstract. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct as it does follow a formal research methodology for drawing results. 6. The references are apt. The studies from pre & post COVID scenario in the field of study also be addressed with respect to the topic in consideration. 7. Incorporate the research design used for the study and why the specific design was used for the study. 8. incorporate implications of the study based on the objectives framed and results drawn. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The grammatical suggestions marked in the reviewed manuscript must be incorporated.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakshi
Department, University & Country	India