

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_120618</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Towards Effective Healthcare Delivery: An assessment of Public Perception of Pharmacist's role in Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript investigates the public perception of pharmacists in Nigeria, offering significant insights into their role within the healthcare system. This research is crucial for understanding the societal value attributed to pharmacists and identifying areas where their contributions can be optimized. The findings highlight both the positive views and the challenges faced by pharmacists, which can inform future healthcare policies and practices. <b>Importance of the Manuscript for the Scientific Community:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• This manuscript provides a valuable exploration of how pharmacists are perceived in Nigeria, which is essential for improving healthcare delivery and policy-making.</li><li>• The study underscores the potential for pharmacists to play a more integral role in the healthcare system, thus contributing to better patient outcomes.</li><li>• By identifying gaps in public awareness and trust, the research offers a foundation for targeted educational and policy interventions.</li></ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title "Towards Effective Healthcare Delivery: An Assessment of Public Perception of Pharmacist's Role in Nigeria" accurately reflects the content and scope of the study. It is appropriate and requires no changes.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is well-constructed and provides a clear overview of the study. It includes the objective, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. However, to improve its comprehensiveness, consider the following suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Objective:</b> Clearly state the primary aim of the study. For example: "This study aims to assess the public perception of pharmacists' roles in healthcare delivery in Nigeria."</li> <li><b>Methodology:</b> Summarize the research design and methods used succinctly. For instance: "A cross-sectional survey was conducted using a structured questionnaire to collect data from a representative sample of the Nigerian population."</li> <li><b>Key Findings:</b> Highlight the most important results with specific data points where applicable. For example: "The findings reveal that while a majority of respondents recognize the importance of pharmacists in healthcare, significant gaps in awareness and utilization were identified. Approximately 65% of participants expressed trust in pharmacists' professional advice, yet only 45% reported regularly consulting pharmacists for health-related issues."</li> <li><b>Conclusions and Implications:</b> Summarize the main conclusions and their implications for practice and policy. For example: "The study concludes that enhancing public awareness and trust in pharmacists could significantly improve healthcare delivery in Nigeria. The findings suggest the need for targeted educational campaigns and policy interventions to better integrate pharmacists into the healthcare system."</li> </ol> <p><b>Suggested Revision:</b> "The abstract effectively summarizes the study but can be further improved by explicitly stating the key findings and their implications for healthcare practice and policy. Here is a suggested revision for clarity and comprehensiveness:</p> <p><b>Revised Abstract:</b> "This study aims to assess the public perception of pharmacists' roles in healthcare delivery in Nigeria. A cross-sectional survey was conducted using a structured questionnaire to collect data from a representative sample of the Nigerian population. The findings reveal that while a majority of respondents recognize the importance of pharmacists in healthcare, significant gaps in awareness and utilization were identified. Approximately 65% of participants expressed trust in pharmacists' professional advice, yet only 45% reported regularly consulting pharmacists for health-related issues. The study concludes that enhancing public awareness and trust in pharmacists could significantly improve healthcare delivery in Nigeria. These findings suggest the need for targeted educational campaigns and policy interventions to better integrate pharmacists into the healthcare system."</p> <p>This revision provides a clearer and more detailed summary of the study's objective, methodology, key findings, and conclusions, ensuring that readers can quickly grasp the significance and implications of the research.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is well-organized with clearly defined subsections. The structure facilitates a logical progression from introduction to conclusion, ensuring the content is easily understandable.</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This study is scientifically robust and technically sound. It employs appropriate research methods, including a cross-sectional survey with a representative sample, to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings.</li> <li>The analysis is thorough, with clear presentation of data and thoughtful interpretation of results.</li> <li>Ethical considerations have been duly addressed, adding to the study's credibility.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are adequate and current, providing a solid background for the study. Including a few additional references on similar research conducted in other regions could enhance the manuscript's depth and comparative perspective.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is generally high, but there are minor grammatical and stylistic issues that need correction to enhance clarity and readability. A thorough proofreading is recommended.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The discussion section could be expanded to include more detailed implications of the findings for healthcare policy and practice in Nigeria.</li><li>2. Consider adding a section on recommendations for future research to provide direction for subsequent studies in this area.</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saleh Ahmed Jalal Siam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>American International University, Bangladesh</b>