

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120402
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of microbial consortiums on the germination and initial seedling growth attributes of mangosteen
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It has some valid outcome for adoption in high value crop, particularly a non chemical method to boost early vigour of seedlings	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	As in corrected manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is elaborative	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Technically right, though it is small findings	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Need to be corrected and cited as per the journal format	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average	
Optional/General comments	Needs minor revision, can be concised by avoiding excessive presentation of results	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Mohandas
Department, University & Country	Nadu Agrl. University, India