

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119457
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of CFLD's on productivity and profitability of Blackgram in farmers, fields of West Godavari district
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The paper overall is clear and is validated with relevant citations. The text is simple, easy to follow, and streamlined.</p> <p>The trail of thoughts is streamlined and validated with relevant references.</p> <p>It needs proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>Moreover, the citations need to be renumbered based on an increasing order and first appearance or use in the text [See the suggested changes]</p> <p>The abstract is adequate. It includes the different necessary parts to make it representative of the paper's content.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is adequate and is supported with enough relevant and updated references. Hence, as is, serves the paper's objectives.</p> <p>The methodology is addressed clearly. Showing the proposed model's formulas with clarity of definitions of the variables. See suggestions and the topic of data analysis.</p> <p>The results are clear and well-presented in Tables. These support the discussion of the findings.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, however, needs good proofreading and editing [see all suggested marked changes] It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research??? Future work????</p> <p>Review carefully THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCY AND COMPLETENESS, and match the Journal's requirements.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon