

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_118920</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Central Hypopituitarism with secondary adrenal insufficiency after postpartum hemorrhage in a 41 years old female patient</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>REVIEW comments required</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) R/ THE MANUSCRIPT PRESENTED IS OF GREAT IMPORTANCE TO THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY FOR TREATING A CLINICAL CASE OF A PATIENT</li> <li>2. <b>Is the article title appropriate?</b> (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R/ ADEQUATE TITLE, ACCORDING TO THE SURVEY OF REFERENCES ON THE TOPIC, SEVERAL ARTICLES APPEARED ON THE TOPIC.</li> <li>3. <b>Is the article summary comprehensive?</b> R/ ABSTRACT WAS NOT COMPLETELY CORRECT AND SHOULD BE CORRECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. THE AUTHORS DID NOT INCLUDE THE CONCLUSIONS IN THE ABSTRACT. YOU MUST FOLLOW THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES FOR PREPARING THE SUMMARY. IT WAS WEAK, IT DOESN'T DEFINE THE OBJECTIVE OF THE WORK. WHERE THE RESEARCH WAS CARRIED OUT, ETC.</li> <li>4. <b>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript adequate?</b> R/ DOES NOT DEFINE THE OBJECTIVE OR JUSTIFICATION OF THE ARRUMAR RESEARCH. THE AUTHORS MADE A DIVISION AND NOT AS THE JOURNAL ADVISES. . YOU MUST ARRANGE THE MANUSCRIPT ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL GUIDELINES.</li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> R/ THE REFERENCES IN THE LIST WERE 5, IN THE LIST THE REFERENCES WERE TYPETED IN THE SAME ORDER OF APPEARANCE IN THE ., SO THEY WERE INCOMPLETE AND MUST BE CORRECTED. A REFERENCE HAS MORE THAN 6 AUTHORS. THERE ARE TWO STYLES FOR WRITING REFERENCES. TO ARRANGE.</li> </ol> <p>(In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) R/ THIS MANUSCRIPT ALTHOUGH IT HAS SIGNS IN ITS PREPARATION, IT WAS DONE VERY ACCURATELY. AUTHORS MUST FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES FOR CORRECTION. ERRORS SIGNATED CAN BE CORRECTED WITHOUT ANY MAJOR PROBLEMS.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>Minor REVIEW comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for academic communications?</b> R/ ENGLISH LANGUAGE USED, EXCEPT FOR SOME TYPING ERRORS, IS SUITABLE FOR COMMUNICATION WITH THE ACADEMIC COMMUNITY.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Havana University, Cuba</b>