

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_119786
Title of the Manuscript:	DOES THE DEVELOPMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES IMPROVE EXPORT DIVERSIFICATION? THE CASE OF THE CEMAC ZONE.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, Financial service In export diversification is very important in the present day arena.</p> <p>2, The title should be redesigned as THE DEVELOPMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES IMPROVE EXPORT DIVERSIFICATION : THE CASE OF THE CEMAC ZONE.</p> <p>3.no, It should be written as in the narrative form title ,objective ,methods of investigation ,major findings ,recommendation & conclusion</p> <p>4. Partially true, Recommendation should be included in the last part of the article before conclusion.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Most of the references are recent. My suggestion is to incorporate as recent references as possible.</p> <p>7. The language should be modest which is easily understandable to the readers. Passive voice should be used and uncommon words should be avoided</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>8. Partially true.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is important in the context of thematic area and the quantitative analysis is appreciable.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihir Kumar Roy
Department, University & Country	City University, Bangladesh