

## **Assessment of Genetic Variability in M<sub>3</sub> Generation of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp)**

### **Abstract**

The experiment material comprised of 150 mutant lines including two checks *viz.*, RC-19 and RC-101 of cowpea to study genetic parameters for eleven characters. The estimates of PCV were found to be higher than estimates of GCV for all eleven characters it showing that the apparent variation is not only due to genotypes but also due to the influence of the environment. Higher GCV and PCV were observed for number of primary branches per plant and seed yield per plant. The estimates of heritability were observed to be higher for all the characters. Genetic advance as percentage of mean was higher observed for the number of primary branches per plant, seed yield per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, pod length, harvest index and biological yield per plant.

**Keywords:** Cowpea, Genetic advance, GCV, Heritability and PCV

### **Introduction**

“Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is one of the most important food and forage legumes in the semi-arid tropics that include parts of Asia, Africa, Southern Europe, Southern United States and Central and South America” (Simion, 2018). “Cowpea has recently gained popularity among consumers and researchers worldwide due to its numerous health benefits including anti-diabetic, anti-cancer, anti-hyperlipidemic, anti-inflammatory and anti-hypertensive qualities” (Jayathilake *et al.*, 2018; Ajayi *et al.*, 2017). “Cowpea grain is nutritionally similar to other pulses with a low fat level and a high total protein content. Young leaves and succulent pods are utilized as vegetables in fresh form, while grain is used to produce a variety of snacks and main meal recipes. Cowpea is a nutrient-dense food with a low energy density. Cowpea seed contains a good amount of protein (22-24%), carbohydrate (55-66%), iron (0.005%), calcium (0.08-0.11%) and essential amino acids (lysine 7.3-8.74 g/100g protein, leucine 6.45-8.5 g/100g protein, isoleucine 4.17-5.46 g/100g protein, threonine 3.89-5.12 g/100g protein, histidine 1.85-2 g/100g protein). Its starch is absorbed more slowly than cereal starch, which is better for human health” (Jayathilake *et al.*, 2018).

“Mutations bring heritable changes in genetic material, and are the ultimate source of genetic variation among individuals. Mutation breeding offers enormous

potential for enhancing genetic variation in qualitative and quantitative characteristics, as well as for broadening the genetic base. The effectiveness of mutant breeding is based on the creation of adequate genetic variation without much detrimental effects in plant type and its performance in terms of productivity and nutritional factors. It also depends on effective and need-based selection, as well as closely monitoring changes at the plant level. Furthermore, creating genetic variation in this crop through gene recombination by hybridization is challenging and time-consuming due to small, delicate floral structures that result in poor crossed seed setting in artificially hybridized buds” (Bhosle, 2010).

### **Materials and methods**

The present investigation was conducted during *Kharif 2022* at the Research Farm of Rajasthan Agriculture Research Institute Durgapura. This experiment material comprised 150 mutant lines including two checks of cowpea. The experimental materials of cowpea were sown in augmented block design with single row (4m) of each mutant line. The row to row and plant-to-plant distance was kept at 45 cm and 10 cm. All the recommended package of practices were being followed to raise a healthy crop. The analysis of variance for individual characters was carried out using the method given by Federer (1956). The observations were recorded for eleven characters viz, days to 50% flowering, number of primary branches per plant, numbers of pods per plant, pod length (cm), numbers of seeds per pod, days to maturity, plant height (cm), seed yield per plant (g), 100-seed weight (g), biological yield (g) and harvest index (%). The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each line for all characters except days to 50% flowering and days to maturity which were recorded on plot basis. Genotypic coefficients of variance (GCV) and phenotypic coefficients of variance (PCV) were calculated by using the formula suggested by Burton (1952). Heritability in broad sense ( $h^2$ ) was calculated according to the formula indicated by Burton and De Vane (1953). The genetic advance was worked out as per the formula suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

### **Results and discussion**

In variety RC-19, the analysis of variance revealed the existence of significant difference in the  $M_3$  generation for all the characters studied (Table 1). The mean squares due to entries and treatments were significant for all the

characters studied whereas mean squares due to blocks were non-significant for all the characters except plant height. The mean squares due to checks were non-significant for all characters except number of primary branches per plant, pod length, days to maturity, plant height and 100-seed weight. Dividing the entries sum of squares into vivid components shows checks v/s treatments most of the characters were non-significant except number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, days to maturity and plant height. Similar results were also observed by Ramakrishnan *et al.* (2018) and Reni *et al.* (2022).

The genetic variability parameters are presented in table 2. The estimates of PCV were found to be higher than estimates of GCV for all eleven characters it showing that the apparent variation is not only due to genotypes but also due to the influence of the environment. Higher GCV and PCV were observed for a number of primary branches per plant and seed yield per plant. Medium GCV and PCV were observed for a number of seeds per pod followed by pod length, number of pods per plant, harvest index and biological yield per plant whereas, plant height, 100-seed weight, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity had low genotypic coefficient of variation phenotypic coefficient of variation. Similar results were also observed by Wani *et al.* (2012), Padavai (2015) and Goyal *et al.* (2021).

For every character—seed yield per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, pod length, days to maturity, biological yield per plant, plant height, days to 50% flowering, 100-seed weight, number of primary branches per plant, and harvest index—the estimates of heritability were found to be higher. Similar results were also observed by Wani *et al.* (2012), Padavai (2015) and Mahamune (2018).

Number of main branches per plant, seed production per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, pod length, harvest index, and biological yield per plant were the factors that showed a higher genetic advance as a percentage of mean. Medium genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed for plant height and 100-seed weight whereas, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity had low genetic advance as percentage of mean. Similar results were also observed by Azad (2012), Kashid (2016) and Goyal *et al.* (2021).

## **Conclusion**

The results of the present investigation indicate, the presence of adequate genetic variability within among the genotypes. Therefore, induced genetic variability can be successfully utilized to develop new cultivars of cowpea.

#### **Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

#### **Reference**

- Azad, S.A. 2012. Increased genetic variability for total plant yield in M<sub>3</sub> generation of mung bean. *Indian Journal of Scientific Research*, **3**(1): 145-148.
- Bhosle, S.S. and Kothekar, V.S. 2010. Mutagenic efficiency and effectiveness in cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.]. *Journal of Phytology*, **2**(6): 21-27.
- Burton, G.M. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Grassland Congress, 1: 277-185.
- Burton, G.W. and De Vane, E.H. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Fistvea arundiancea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*, **45**: 284-291.
- Federer, W.T. 1956. Augmented designs, Hawain Planters. *Record*, **55**: 191-208.

- Goyal, S., Wani, M.R., Raina, A., Laskar, R.A., Khan, S. 2021. Phenotypic diversity in mutagenized population of urdbean [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. *Heliyon*, **7**(5): e06356.
- Jayathilake, C., Visvanathan, R., Deen, A., Bangamuwage, R., Jayawardana, B.C., Nammi, S. and Liyanage, R. 2018. Cowpea: an overview on its nutritional facts and health benefits. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, **98**(13): 4793-4806.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimate of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agronomy Journal*, **47**(7): 314-318.
- Kashid, N.G. 2016. Mutagenic effect of ethyl methane sulphonate and sodium azide on pod characters in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Science Research*, **5**(2):1535-1537.
- Mahamune, S.E. 2018. The induced mutagenic effects on yield contributing traits in french bean. *Bioscience Discovery*, **9**(4): 489-492.
- Padavai, P. 2015. Studies on quantitative characters for gamma rays treatment in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *International Journal of Modern Cellular and Molecular Biology*, **4**(1): 1-10.
- Ramakrishnan, C.K.D., Savithramma, D.L. and Vijayabharathi, A. 2018. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7**(3): 2753-2761.
- Reni, Y.P., Ramana, M.V., Rajesh, A.P., Madhavi, G.B. and Prakash, K.K. 2022. Genetic divergence studies in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] genotypes. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(2): 2153-2158.
- Simion, T. 2018. Breeding cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] for quality traits. *Annals of Reviews and Research*, **3**(2): 555-609.
- Wani, M.R., Lone, M.A., Sheikh, S.A., Dar, M.S., Tak, M.A., Ahmad, P. and Khan, S. 2012. Induction and assessment of genetic variability for yield and yield contributing traits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Plant Genomics*, **2**(1): 28-33.
- Ajayi T, Ologundudu AF, Azuh V, Daramola OF, Kajogbola A. Colchicine–

Induced Genetic Variations in M2 and M3 Generations of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017 Oct 31;13(2).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Analysis of variance for different character in variety RC-19 of cowpea in M<sub>3</sub> generation**

Source of variation	Blocks (eliminating treatments)	Entries (checks + treatment)	Checks	Treatments (ignoring blocks)	C vs T	Error
d. f.	9	151	1	149	1	9
Days to 50 % flowering	0.09	4.74**	3.20	4.76**	3.52	0.97
No. of primary branches/ plant	0.01	0.45**	1.80**	0.44**	0.28	0.09
No. of pods/ plant	0.06	1.68**	0.02	1.64**	9.68**	0.13
Pod length (cm)	0.06	2.47**	1.21**	2.45**	7.38**	0.20
No. of seeds/pod	0.03	1.71**	0.45	1.57**	23.91*	0.11
Days to maturity	1.42	9.52**	72.20**	7.56**	237.25**	0.98
Plant height (cm)	4.71**	10.12**	380.90**	7.11**	88.40*	1.41
Seed yield/ plant (g)	0.43	3.43**	0.23	3.46**	1.00	0.20
100-seed weight (g)	0.10	0.35**	0.64**	0.35**	0.20	0.10
Biological yield (g)	2.07	15.07**	8.37	15.21**	1.86	2.41
Harvest index (%)	14.53	41.65**	1.87	42.17**	5.25	10.64

\*\* Significant at 1% level of significance

**Table 2: Genetic variability parameters for yield and its component characters in variety RC-19 of cowpea in M<sub>3</sub> generation**

Characters	Mean	Range		GCV	PCV	Heritability (bs) (%)	Genetic advance as % of mean
		Min.	Max.				
Days to 50 % flowering	38.85	33.90	46.90	5.01	5.62	79.46	9.21
No. of primary branches/plant	2.49	0.76	4.96	23.8	26.78	78.9	43.6
No. of pods/ plant	6.93	3.07	9.67	17.74	18.46	92.4	35.19
Pod length(cm)	8.43	2.93	11.93	17.77	18.53	91.99	35.17
No. of seeds/pod	6.49	2.85	9.45	18.56	19.26	92.84	36.9
Days to maturity	64.43	59.60	74.60	3.98	4.26	87.07	7.66
Plant height (cm)	24.89	20.60	35.13	9.57	10.69	80.09	17.67
Seed yield/ plant (g)	8.61	4.47	13.87	20.98	21.63	94.14	42.03
100-seed weight (g)	7.83	5.94	9.83	6.76	7.61	78.96	12.39
Biological yield (g)	25.63	14.47	36.30	13.96	15.22	84.15	26.42
Harvest index (%)	33.80	18.48	58.39	16.61	19.21	74.75	29.63