

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119303
Title of the Manuscript:	constraint analysis of RBK services at farmers level and VAA level in Annamayya District of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This paper addresses a pressing global issue - food shortage and high food prices - making it a highly relevant and timely contribution to the scientific community. However, to further enhance its impact and relevance, I recommend that the author updates the manuscript to reflect the latest research, data, and developments in the field, ensuring that the paper remains a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and other stakeholders</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. To some extent</p> <p>5. To some extent</p> <p>6. No. The author appears to be unfamiliar with the journal's specific referencing style. To ensure consistency and accuracy, I recommend reworking the references and citations to conform to the journal's prescribed style. This will enhance the manuscript's overall quality and facilitate a smoother review process.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The paper's language is clear, but there's room for improvement in terms of grammar, syntax, and overall English quality. I recommend that the author considers revising the manuscript to enhance its linguistic accuracy, clarity, and flow. A thorough language edit could greatly enhance the paper's readability and overall impact</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper has potential, but it requires refinement to enhance its clarity, coherence, and overall quality. I suggest the author revise the manuscript to address issues such as [specific areas for improvement, e.g., organization, argumentation, language use, etc.]. With careful revision and attention to detail, this paper can become a strong and impactful contribution to the field</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dare O. Omonijo
Department, University & Country	Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria