

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119938
Title of the Manuscript:	NANOPARTICLES- NANO ZINC APPLICATION IN BARLEY
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The preface must be rewritten and focus on comparisons between authors' innovation points and previously reported works.</p> <p>2. There are English mistakes, and the grammar needs to be improved substantially. The manuscript should be corrected by a native speaker.</p> <p>3. The quality of the figures is very poor. I suggest to improve it.</p> <p>4. The title should be attractive.</p> <p>5. The abstract is non comprehensive, try to make it comprehensive.</p> <p>6. The authors must cite these recent references for the improvement of literature; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.131155 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.131067 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2023.123469</p> <p>7. Why the author preferred green path as compared to conventional methods.</p> <p>8. The authors have to determine the crystalline nature of the sample.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalil Ur Rehman
Department, University & Country	Institute of Chemical Sciences, Gomal University, Pakistan