

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119408
Title of the Manuscript:	"Investigation of the impact of a combination of micronutrients on the wheat growth and yield parameters"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is very important for scientific community as micronutrients has influence on the growth and yield of wheat.</li> <li>The title of the article seems okay to me.</li> <li>The abstract of the article has been written well but need some modification as I commented during review.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the manuscript need some modification as I commented during review.</li> <li>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>The references are insufficient in the manuscript. Some recent references should be used in the manuscript.</li> <li>Please include the economic analysis in the results and discussion section</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The quality of the English language of the article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript can be published after corrections as I mentioned above.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Apu Biswas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh Tea Research Institute, Bangladesh</b>