

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119737
Title of the Manuscript:	Abysmal Hike in Global Cocoa Price and its Implications on Cocoa Plantations in Tamil Nadu – A Critical Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript still does not specify the problem that is causing the increase in cocoa prices. The implications written do not explain at all the relationship between the problem and the rejuvenation of cocoa in Tamil</p> <p>The title of the article needs improvement. I cannot suggest a title for this manuscript because the discussion is still very global and the issues raised in this article are not clear.</p> <p>Needs improvement : The abstract does not describe the causes of the price increase with the implications of opening cocoa land in Tamil.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is still not well structured, especially the relationship of each sub-chapter which is analyzed in this manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct even though the discussion is not related.</p> <p>The manuscript contains 75% of the latest scientific journals. However, most of the references have not been properly integrated into the analysis of this manuscript so that the critical analysis cannot be understood.</p> <p>1. The analysis written still does not focus on the problem being studied, especially the explanation still seems not well structured and confusing. 2. If the study is about the increase in world cocoa prices which is to be linked to cocoa plantations in Tamil, the author should explain in detail how cocoa farmers in Tamil increased the productivity of their cocoa plantations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it's good for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript needs major improvements.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heru Joko Budirianto
Department, University & Country	University of Papua, Indonesia