

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119737
Title of the Manuscript:	Abysmal Hike in Global Cocoa Price and its Implications on Cocoa Plantations in Tamil Nadu – A Critical Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, manuscripts are important for the scientific community because manuscripts help enrich and deepen existing knowledge and manuscripts can train researchers to think critically, comprehensively, and are able to develop new knowledge.</p> <p>2. Yes suitable</p> <p>3. The article abstract is incomplete: There is no reason for conducting research, less than 250-400 words. The words in the manuscript here are only 184 words. It is best to complete: reasons for doing research and more than 250 words.</p> <p>Abstract is complete: a. The contents consist of: Information, research objectives, reasons for carrying out research, research methods, data, findings, conclusions and keywords. b. Abstracts of less than 250-400 words.</p> <p>4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>When writing scientific papers, the language used must be scientific. This means that the language is in accordance with the applicable rules of writing the language. This is to reduce differences in perception between writers and readers which will cause miscommunication between writers and readers.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diah Nurdiana
Department, University & Country	IBI-K57, Indonesia