

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119264
Title of the Manuscript:	Drip Irrigated Dry Direct Seeded Rice: One Step Forward Towards Sustainability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it will give an insight into the effect of Drip irrigation on Dry Direct seeding of rice productivity compared to the conventional flooded rice production widely practiced.</b></p> <p><b>Title should be changed to:</b> Comparative Study of Drip Irrigated Dry Direct Seeded Rice and Conventional Flooded Transplanted Rice Production <b>No, abstract should be a summary of the work from title to recommendation</b></p> <p><b>Yes but they should not carry any numbering for any sub-title</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it's scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>Yes, references are sufficient and recent.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tena Mongalaku Barnabas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Abuja, Nigeria</b>