

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_119957
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness about government scheme of maternal nutrition among urban area
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is important to know the extent of the community's role in utilising government programmes for health services to the community.</p> <p>2. Already appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract has provided a comprehensive overview of the research content</p> <p>4. In the introduction, supporting data on community involvement in using the Indian government has launched a number of programmes, including the Pradhan Mantri Matru Vandana Yojana (PMMVY), the Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY), the Pradhan Mantri Surakshit Matritva Abhiyan, the Bhagya Lakshmi Yojana, and the Janani Shishu Suraksha Karyakaram.</p> <p>5. Has been in accordance with scientific principles and elements but it is recommended that the discussion needs to be carried out in depth and supported by a clear and precise theoretical basis.</p> <p>6. Already in accordance</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meildy Esthevanus Pascoal
Department, University & Country	Indonesia