

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJRIMPS_117712
Title of the Manuscript:	America's Healthcare System: A Looming Crises
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b> (The abstract could be refined to provide a clearer and more concise summary of the manuscript's key points)</p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b> refining the organization could further enhance the manuscript's effectiveness</p> <p>5. The manuscript contains several factual inaccuracies and unsubstantiated claims, which undermine its scientific correctness. For example, some statistics regarding healthcare spending and outcomes need verification, and assertions about the impact of political ideologies on healthcare systems should be supported by empirical evidence. Additionally, the manuscript lacks sufficient citations to authoritative sources, weakening its scientific credibility. Overall, <b>there is room for improvement to ensure that the manuscript is scientifically accurate and reliable.</b></p> <p>6. The references provided in the manuscript are somewhat limited, and some of them are outdated</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are areas that could be improved for clarity and conciseness. Some sentences are overly long and could benefit from simplification to enhance readability. Additionally, there are instances of grammatical errors and awkward phrasing throughout the manuscript that could be refined to improve the overall flow and coherence of the text</p> <p>Example: Other countries spent much less of their GDP on health care, ranging from 9% in Australia to 12% in Switzerland, despite having more than 99 percent of their populations covered (Florimon, 2019)</p> <p>Revised: "Despite covering over 99% of their populations, other countries spend a smaller percentage of their GDP on healthcare, ranging from 9% in Australia to 12% in Switzerland (Florimon, 2019)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. G. Antony Godson</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hindusthan College of Arts &amp; Science, India</b>