

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120190
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF AGRICULTURE CRISES: A CASE STUDY OF FARM LABOUR IN INDIA'S PUNJAB
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	I think the paper content in very interesting, with strong policies implication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? <i>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</i>	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, it is	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>In general terms, the manuscript structure is appropriate. However, I can do some comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A nice review is provided, then I would like to have a reason for the literature review: why is it necessary, what is/are the autor/s looking for? - Take care if it is not an overlapping (lit. review) with some part of the introduction when they speak about suicide. - I suggest tables may be extended to the total wide of the page, it looks better - Line 163, what percentage of total population does 1618 represent? - Every time an explanation of a table is given, please, refer to its number. For example, lines 189-190 talk about Table 1 but they don't mention it. - Table 3 can be text only. - Line 191 (and all tables' titles): you don't need a space after the number, it is better you use a dot instead of two dots. Example: Table 1. Distribution... - In line 228 it says "1961", but in the column of table 7 it says 1962. - 242-244: where does it come from? - Line 245, please explain percentage share of what? in table 9. Does any line of the table should sum up to 100%? - Table 12 is not necessary; it contains the same information of the text. 	

Review Form 1.8

<p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</p>	<p>It is correct, it is a descriptive paper of statistics</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient and updated I do not find Singh et al. (2019) (line 132) in the references. There is a Singh (2021) and Singh et al (2012) in references but I could not find them in the text. Could you please, check if I am wrong?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language is suitable and comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I think very useful knowledge is found in this paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Daniela Chavez Clemente
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Agricultural Technology, Argentina