



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Citation Serial:</p> <p>In the text, the citation serials are not properly maintained.</p> <p>2. Material and Methods:</p> <p>i. The eight survey sites (name of the villages) from the two districts where the field survey was conducted, are not mentioned in the report, ii. Data from secondary sources are not clearly cited, and the sources need to be specified, iii. Information about land scale is missing. The categorization of small, medium, and large land, as well as the area of land, is not provided.</p> <p>3. Results and discussion:</p> <p>Section 3.5.4 is missing.</p> <p>Tables 3, 4, and 6 must be referenced by their numbers within the text.</p> <p>Table 9 is mentioned twice in subsequent lines.</p> <p>They mentioned Table 9 twice in subsequent lines. Most importantly, there is a lack of discussion explaining the results/findings by referring to relevant research. The number of citations should be increased to strengthen the manuscript.</p>	<p>1. Citation Serial:</p> <p>We are very much sorry that the citation serials are not maintained properly. In the revised manuscript followed the serial and put number properly.</p> <p>2. Materials and Method:</p> <p>i. Sorry that we did not mention the 8 villages name in the report where the field survey was conducted. In the revised manuscript we put the name of the villages.</p> <p>ii. Secondary data sources are cited clearly in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>iii. Information about the land scale and the categorization of small, medium, and large, as well as land area are provided in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>3. Results and discussion:</p> <p>Sorry that the 3.5.4 is missing for the numbering mistake. In the revised manuscript we have corrected the number of the section and continue the section number consecutively.</p> <p>Sorry that the Table 3,4, and 6 are missing within the text. In the revised manuscript the Table numbers are refenced by the number within the text.</p> <p>Table 9 mention two times mistakenly, we have corrected it in the revised manuscript. We tried to put relevant references in the manuscript in the discussion section to increase the strengthen it.</p>