

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_116374</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Dye Decolorization of Industrial Soil by using Dye Degrading Bacteria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1- This study on bacterial biodegradation of harmful textile dyes is crucial. Soil bacteria that decolorize crystal violet dye from textile waste are isolated and characterised. The research optimises dye degradation by optimising temperature, pH, carbon, and nitrogen.</p> <p>2- Could potentially be modified to be more specific and focused. ( "Isolation and characterization of Staphylococcus saprophyticus from textile industry soil for decolorization of crystal violet dye")</p> <p>3- the abstract provides a summary of the main points, it may not include all the details and findings present in the full article.</p> <p>4- Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate-</p> <p>5-Based on my review of the manuscript, the scientific work described appears to have been conducted properly and the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- On the whole, the sources offered seem enough to substantiate the submitted study. By including one or more of the aforementioned recent review papers, the work may be situated more completely within the existing body of knowledge. However, the current sources are sufficient for the extent and depth of the presented study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The article's English may be enhanced by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-Some long phrases might be simplified. It would improve readability.</li> <li>2-Proper punctuation, like commas, may increase readability.</li> <li>3-Certain terminology might be clarified. For instance, replace "thing" and "item" with scientific words.</li> <li>4-Some portions need better paragraph organisation and logical flow. Transitional words/phrases may assist.</li> <li>5-A precise definition of terminology on first usage. Abbreviations and spelling.</li> <li>6. Grammar needs correcting. These mistakes must be fixed for academic quality.</li> <li>7-Good depth and explanation for scholars/scientists. More context helps comprehension.</li> <li>8- Format and cite references according to target journal style (APA, MLA, etc.).</li> <li>9-Language variety/complexity is mainly suitable but might be improved with academic vocabulary.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	They are pinned as notes on the sent paper	
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mustafa Riyadh Mohammed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Anbar, Iraq</b>