

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119862
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling India's Export Success: The Remarkable Performance of Gherkins
Type of the Article	Original research paper

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community. It provides a detailed analysis of India's gherkins export performance, a significant agricultural sector. The study offers valuable insights into growth trends, market stability, and export patterns to various countries, which can inform trade strategies and agricultural policies.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Is the title of the article suitable? The title "Unveiling India's Export Success: The Remarkable Performance of Gherkins" is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the article.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract is quite comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the study's aim, methodology used, study period, and key findings. However, it could benefit from a brief mention of implications or recommendations based on the results.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The manuscript structure is appropriate, with clearly defined sections for introduction, methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion. The subsections within the results are well-organized, addressing different categories of gherkin exports.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It uses appropriate statistical methodologies such as Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) and Cuddy-Della Valle Instability Index to analyze export data. The results are presented clearly and discussed in the context of market trends.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Are the references sufficient and recent? The article includes some recent references, but the reference list provided at the end appears to be limited. It would benefit from the inclusion of more recent studies on agricultural trade and export analysis to strengthen the context and discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English quality is generally good and suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are some minor grammatical errors and awkward phrases that could be improved through careful proofreading.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The tables and figures are informative and complement the text well. The article could benefit from a deeper discussion on the implications of the findings for policymakers and exporters. It would be helpful to include a section on limitations of the study and suggestions for future research. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isaac Shamir Rojas Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Sonora, México