

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111785
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Heavy Metal Contamination of Some Commercially Available Herbal Preparations in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This could be a very important one to the scientific community if the author can further extend to the interlinks on cellular levels that comes up with an conclusion of using the conventional meds protocols through Channels inhibitors.</p> <p>I would suggest the title be "Determination o Heavy Metal Contamination..... in Nigeria and its interlinks on cellular levels through Channels Inhibitors – Part 1."</p> <p>Yes, very comprehensive and easy to understand, with the author's exact wordings. However, I would suggest extending it further through the above mentioned in the abstract.</p> <p>Yes, very straightforwardly correct.</p> <p>Yes, very informative yet comprehensive and simple to understand.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes, with exactness in literature expression.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>only need to further extend the title, include some channels inhibitors planning to write, and have a suggested future study on what would be written on Part 2.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fai Chan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>USA</b>