

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_112009
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Neural Network Model for the Prediction of the Products of a Crude Distillation Column
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it presents an Artificial Neural Network model for predicting the products of a crude distillation column, addressing a crucial aspect of petroleum refining.</p> <p>The title is suitable, providing a clear indication of the manuscript's content</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the key aspects of the research, including the methodology, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, facilitating a clear and organized presentation of the research. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, with a detailed methodology and results presented for evaluation.</p> <p>The references are sufficient, covering relevant literature on neural network modeling and crude distillation columns. No additional references are suggested.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The provided text appears to be well-written and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Meenachi
Department, University & Country	K. S. Rangasamy College of Technology, India