

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119075
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Impacts of Technology Adoption on Construction Business Profitability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the Manuscript is important for the scientific community as it is a new research in the area. It signifies a the researcher did a deep research and came up with some good findings that is needed for the journal.</p> <p>2. The article's title needs to be improved, keep the title more defined than being directly to the point.</p> <p>3. The abstract is detail-oriented.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and things are properly aligned and arranged.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the sufficient reference and are recent too.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language's quality is suitable for scholarly communications to communicate the information and message.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Only improve the Title rest of everything thing is up to the mark and Excellent.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ayush Kumar Ojha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SSSUTMS, India</b>