

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_119716
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of digital surveillance for the COVID-19 and Dengue co-epidemic (SMART-CODEN) in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Digitalization in health care is very important and the manuscript focussed on COVID 19 vs. Dengue. The thought process of the authors to bring digital surveillance in this area is appreciable. As the world has seen the disaster during COVID, we should encourage novel, new tools and technologies, research in these areas to handle such disasters to save the world. The efforts of authors who started research in this area must be counted.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Yes but few suggestions are given in comment section for further enhancement.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes but in page number 9, the regional language used can be rewritten in standard language</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I appreciate the efforts taken by the author on developing the article which is the need of the day but I would like to suggest the author to revise the document completely to add more weightage to the article. The article needs revision.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> co-pidemic – Abstract – 4th line, typo error' Abstract – Methods – The methods mentioned are generalized SDLC stages, Specify what exact model / methodology used for the current work. Abstract – Results – Try to specify the performance evaluation metrics and the final metric of proposed study result obtained. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lagging in highlighting the importance of Digital surveillance. Add few more sentences that explains what and how Digital surveillance works and in what way it is useful to the society / health care. <p>The article can include the past research works done in this area, the shortfalls identified which might be the motivation for the current study.</p> <p>Methodology</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Better to provide sample questionnaire and the parameters used in section 2.1 Needs analysis under methodology. In page 9, the diagram was not given caption/title and the language used inside the diagram is not completely English. Common standard language could be used. Ethical committee approvals and consents are completely missing in the article as it is related to health care. Step by step application working outcome based screenshots can be included for understanding performance in a better way. Try to put Results of the application in a better and more explainable way. Try to revise the document and incorporate comparative study on proposed versus existing models. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lakshmi T K
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy University, India