

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120178
Title of the Manuscript:	Implement Virtual Reality in the Development of Runway Light Prototypes for Practicum Activities at Makassar Aviation Polytechnic
Type of the Article	Empirical

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	<p>Yes</p> <p>"To overcome this problem, the researcher wants to develop a Virtual Reality application to help students conduct runway light equipment practicum activities. This application will allow students to explore and simulate the virtual disassembly of runway light equipment. Thus, students can improve their motor skills and gain better practical experience without physically being at the airport.</p> <p>The development of this Virtual Reality prototype application is expected to provide several benefits. Students can learn and practice more flexibly and safely without time and place restrictions [13],[14]. In addition, using VR can also increase student interest and motivation [15]. Hopefully, this application can be an effective and efficient learning tool for improving student competence in airport technology [16]."</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract could better context and make it clearer about the Virtual Reality-based runway light prototype (for the reader or layman who does not always know what it is) and remove the definitions of the methodology steps, describe they in the methodology item.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, the references meet what the manuscript proposes, however there was a mix of bibliographic reference standards in the preparation of the text. It is necessary to choose a single standard and follow it from beginning to end according to what the editor recommends.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	My suggestion is to be reviewed by a native English reviewer.	
Optional/General comments	It is important to make it clear who you are talking about and who this prototype is aimed at, that is, what are your target audience described in the methodology, abstract and introduction.	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosane Malvestiti
Department, University & Country	Brazil