

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_119761
Title of the Manuscript:	MANDIBULAR SIALOADENECTOMY IN DOG WITH SIALOCELE - CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The manuscript presents a case report of a left mandibular sialocele in a dog, which is a common condition in veterinary practice. The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community lies in its detailed description of the surgical technique used to treat the condition—sialoadenectomy—and the successful outcome of the procedure. While the case itself may not be novel, the manuscript contributes to the body of knowledge by providing a clear and structured account of the clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment, and postoperative care. This can be valuable for veterinary students and practitioners as an educational resource and as a reference for clinical decision-making. The inclusion of imaging findings, cytological analysis, and a discussion of the treatment options underscore the manuscript's relevance to the veterinary surgical community.</p> <p>2- the title of the article, "MANDIBULAR SIALOADENECTOMY WITH SIALOCELE - CASE REPORT IN DOG," is somewhat suitable as it indicates the surgical procedure performed (mandibular sialoadenectomy) and the condition treated (sialocele). However, it could be improved for clarity and conciseness.</p> <p>3- The abstract provided in the document is comprehensive in that it succinctly summarizes the main aspects of the case report.</p> <p>4- The manuscript appears to be structured into several subsections, which is appropriate for a case report. The typical structure of a case report includes an introduction, case presentation, discussion, and conclusion. Based on the provided document, the subsections and structure seem to align with this format.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- The references provided in the document are essential for supporting the scientific content of the manuscript. They should be sufficient in number to cover the key points discussed and recent enough to reflect the current state of knowledge in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The text is well-structured, uses appropriate medical and technical terminology, and follows a logical flow from diagnosis to treatment and conclusion. The use of references and citations also aligns with academic standards. However, there are a few minor grammatical and stylistic improvements that could enhance clarity and readability. For instance, some sentences could be more concise, and the use of passive voice could be reduced. Overall, the article meets the requirements for scholarly communication in the field of veterinary medicine.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mojtaba Esmailpour Roshan
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University of Garmsar, Iran