

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_119840</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Enhancing Black Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) Yield with Composts and Biofertilizers : A Comprehensive Analysis” Variety- VL Bhat 201</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This article provides important information regarding the enhancing black soybean (<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merrill) yield with composts and biofertilizers;</li> <li>The title is adequate to the content of the article;</li> <li>The abstract of the article is not well written, it does not adequately and clearly explain the purpose and objectives of the research;</li> <li>The Introduction not gives a suitable description of the addressed problem and it not shows the significance of the research problem, is scientifically limited. The methodology used is appropriate to the purpose of the research; The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of agriculture, but for the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity;</li> <li>The purpose or goal of the work is within the journal's scope. I recommend relating the results obtained from this study to other similar studies, a very important aspect to support the results obtained;</li> <li>The number of references is limited and some corrections need to be made. I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references.</li> </ol> <p>However, corrections should be made in order to publish the article. Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, table, figures and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li> <li>I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li> <li>I recommend a critical approach to the literature to enable analysis and interpretation of the information, development of an objective viewpoint and the setting of clear objectives;</li> <li>It is recommended that Table 1 be edited in accordance with the formatting guidelines set forth by the journal. The table should be formatted on a single page, with the title positioned above the table;</li> <li>It is recommended that figures be uniformly edited in accordance with the specifications outlined in the log, particularly with regard to font;</li> <li>It is recommended that the figures be referred to as "figure 1, 2,....." rather than "graph";</li> <li>The presentation of the results from this study lacks clarity and coherence, and there is a lack of clear reference to other studies with similar results. It is therefore recommended that this issue be subjected to a review;</li> <li>The presentation of the conclusions is overly extensive. It would be preferable to formulate conclusions that emphasise the importance of the results obtained in a clear and concise form;</li> <li>I recommend including more recent bibliographic references.</li> </ul> <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniela Stoin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences "King Michael I" from Timisoara, Romania</b>