

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_119051
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF SOCIAL VALUES AND CULTURE ON DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper gives aspects of cultural factors affecting communities in relation to gender from medieval times</p> <p>The title is okay and relevant</p> <p>The abstract needs to be reworked. It is long and the method used to collect data, design and sampling, findings, discussions, and recommendations are missing. Should be in ONE paragraph devoid of subheadings.</p> <p>The writer should follow the guidelines given in the paper organization</p> <p>I think it must be correct. Let the researcher follow guidelines to show evidence of how the paper came into being</p> <p>Researcher to be encouraged to use the most recent citations in their work</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>To a large extent, the language used is communicative</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Possibly, the scholars should be encouraged to follow the prescribed way of organising their papers before submission.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edward Mutabari Laichena
Department, University & Country	Kenya