

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119161
Title of the Manuscript:	PURCHASING BEHAVIOUR AND SATISFACTION LEVEL OF FARMERS REGARDING GROUNDNUT SEEDS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Need to resolve below mention points to make paper more comprehensible Abstract: • The abstract does not sufficiently clarify the study's aims and objectives. • Explain the methodology in a structured manner. • Instead of detailing the demographic profile, discuss the study's main findings, implications, and its original contribution. Introduction: • Cite required source to acknowledge, from where data has been taken. • Additionally, include the study's need and its contribution to better represent the article. Research Methodology: • Methodology need to explain in more systematically way. • Mention name of the 10 villages. • Discussion need to be added. Conclusion: • Include future avenues and the implications of the studies to further enhance the quality of the conclusion</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juhi Rupal
Department, University & Country	NIMS University, India