

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_119176
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF FASTING PLASMA GLUCOSE, INSULIN, INSULIN RESISTANCE, INTERLEUKIN 10, HIGH SENSITIVE C - REACTIVE PROTEIN AND TUMOUR NECROSIS FACTOR ALPHA IN HIV INFECTED ADULTS WITH AND WITHOUT DIABETES MELLITUS IN NAUTH, NNEWI.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is insightful</p> <p>Need to be required on revision of title it should be concise and meaningful</p> <p>Revise abstract</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Scientifically correct but there is a scope of technical and grammatical corrections in entire manuscript. (Add earlier finding introduction section which have correlation with your work). Need to addressed current challenges and research associated factors and conclude it shortly at the end of result section and in discussion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The "Introduction" section is too short. Please, add information concerning earlier experimental studies concerning the similar issue</p> <p>2. Results must be more separate with any short conclusion.</p> <p>3. Lack of coherence found in the discussion part of your manuscript; relate your findings with the already published recent articles</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sachin P. Borikar
Department, University & Country	Rajarshi Shahu College of Pharmacy, India