

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_119176
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF FASTING PLASMA GLUCOSE, INSULIN, INSULIN RESISTANCE, INTERLEUKIN 10, HIGH SENSITIVE C - REACTIVE PROTEIN AND TUMOUR NECROSIS FACTOR ALPHA IN HIV INFECTED ADULTS WITH AND WITHOUT DIABETES MELLITUS IN NAUTH, NNEWI.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the intersection of HIV and diabetes mellitus, focusing on inflammatory markers</p> <p>A suggested alternative could be: "Inflammatory Markers and Insulin Resistance in HIV-Infected Adults with and without Diabetes in NAUTH Nnewi"</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive, summarizing the background, aim, methodology, results, and conclusion effectively. But "The study design, place, and duration of the study can be combined with the research methodology."</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate. It includes standard sections such as Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, and Discussion.</p> <p>The manuscript appears scientifically correct based on the methodologies described and the statistical analysis conducted</p> <p>The references appear sufficient and recent, covering relevant studies and supporting the background and findings of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript is a valuable contribution to understanding the relationship between HIV and diabetes mellitus in terms of inflammatory markers and insulin resistance</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ifham Hanif
Department, University & Country	Badau Primary Health Care Center, Indonesia