

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_118888
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of bacteremia management practices in a referral service in Dakar, Senegal, (2018 – 2022)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? The manuscript is of scientific interest because bacteremia remains a public health problem and because of the difficulties encountered today in the management of this infection due to antimicrobial resistance of bacteria isolated from bacteremia. Is the title of the article suitable? According to me, it is necessary to reformulate the title of this manuscript because the results presented by the others do not present an evaluation of the practices of management of bacteremia, however these results present clinical and biological aspects linked to the diagnostic approach of bacteremia. I propose the following title for this manuscript: <i>Study of clinical and biological characteristics during the diagnostic process for bacteremia in a reference service in Dakar , Senegal, (2018 – 2022)</i> Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? After reformulating the title of the manuscript, the abstract becomes more understandable and corresponding to the idea stated. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are not appropriate, there are insufficiencies in certain sections, particularly in terms of methodology, statistical analysis tools and ethical considerations which must be adapted according to the journal's recommendations. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? the manuscript is scientifically correct Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. the number of references is insufficient for this work of great scientific interest, moreover, the majority of the references are too old, none are less than 5 years old, the authors should review the references by looking for more recent articles to better illustrate and contextualize their work. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? yes the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Medzegue Nguema Severin
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology of Masuku Franceville, Gabon